

No. 05 - 786 DEC 19 2005

IN THE ~~OFFICE OF THE CLERK~~
Supreme Court of the United States

DAVID D. BACH,
Petitioner,

v.

GEORGE E. PATAKI, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS GOVERNOR OF NEW YORK;
ELIOT SPITZER, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW YORK;
JAMES W. MCMAHON, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS SUPERINTENDENT OF THE NEW YORK STATE POLICE;
AND J. RICHARD BOCKELMANN, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS ULSTER COUNTY SHERIFF,
Respondents.

**On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals
for the Second Circuit**

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DAVID D. BACH
632 Secotan Road
Virginia Beach, VA 23451
(757) 491-1457

DAVID C. FREDERICK
Counsel of Record
KEVIN J. MILLER
DEREK T. HO
KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN,
TODD, EVANS & FIGEL,
P.L.L.C.
1615 M Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 326-7900

December 19, 2005

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms," protected by the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution, applies to the States through the Fourteenth Amendment.

2. Whether New York's ban on the issuance of handgun licenses to nonresidents violates the Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV, § 2, of the United States Constitution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
INTRODUCTION	1
OPINIONS BELOW	2
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION:	
I. THE SECOND CIRCUIT'S DECISION DEEPENS A CIRCUIT CONFLICT ON WHETHER <i>PRESSER</i> AND <i>CRUIK- SHANK</i> REMAIN GOOD LAW, A QUES- TION ONLY THIS COURT CAN RE- SOLVE	7
A. <i>Presser</i> And <i>Cruikshank</i> Should Be Reevaluated In Light Of The Modern Doctrine Of Incorporation	8
B. This Court's Consideration Is Appro- priate Now Because Of The Deepening Circuit Conflict On This Important Question	13
C. This Court Need Not Fully Define The Substantive Scope Of The Second Amendment's "Right To Keep And Bear Arms" To Resolve The Incorpora- tion Issue	15

II.	THE SECOND CIRCUIT'S DECISION CONFLICTS WITH THIS COURT'S LONGSTANDING PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES JURISPRUDENCE.....	20
A.	The Right To A Firearm License Is A "Privilege" Protected By Article IV, § 2.....	23
B.	The Second Circuit's Decision Con- flicts With This Court's Requirement That The State Justify Discrimination Through A Showing That Nonresi- dents Are The "Peculiar Source" Of An Evil That The State Cannot Address Through Less Restrictive Means	25
	CONCLUSION.....	29
	APPENDIX	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Albright v. Oliver</i> , 510 U.S. 266 (1994)	18
<i>Austin v. New Hampshire</i> , 420 U.S. 656 (1975)	21
<i>Baldwin v. Fish & Game Comm'n</i> , 436 U.S. 371 (1978)	24
<i>Barron v. Mayor of Baltimore</i> , 32 U.S. (7 Pet.) 243 (1833)	5, 6, 8, 15
<i>Cases v. United States</i> , 131 F.2d 916 (1st Cir. 1942)	14
<i>Chicago, B. & Q.R.R. v. City of Chicago</i> , 166 U.S. 226 (1897)	6, 8
<i>Connecticut ex rel. Blumenthal v. Crotty</i> , 346 F.3d 84 (2d Cir. 2003)	28
<i>Corfield v. Coryell</i> , 6 F. Cas. 546 (C.C.E.D. Pa. 1823) (No. 3,230)	23, 24
<i>Delaware v. Van Arsdall</i> , 475 U.S. 673 (1986)	9
<i>Doe v. Bolton</i> , 410 U.S. 179 (1973)	25
<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i> , 60 U.S. (19 How.) 393 (1857)	24
<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i> , 391 U.S. 145 (1968)	11, 12
<i>Engblom v. Carey</i> , 677 F.2d 967 (2d Cir. 1982)	13
<i>Gitlow v. New York</i> , 268 U.S. 652 (1925)	9
<i>Granholm v. Heald</i> , 125 S. Ct. 1885 (2005)	28

<i>Hicklin v. Orbeck</i> , 437 U.S. 518 (1978)	21, 27
<i>Keystone Bituminous Coal Ass'n v. DeBenedictis</i> , 480 U.S. 470 (1987)	8
<i>Love v. Pepersack</i> , 47 F.3d 120 (4th Cir. 1995)	14
<i>Lunding v. New York Tax Appeals Tribunal</i> :	
520 U.S. 1227 (1997)	23
522 U.S. 287 (1998)	26, 28
<i>Mapp v. Ohio</i> , 367 U.S. 643 (1961)	20
<i>Miller v. Texas</i> , 153 U.S. 535 (1894)	8, 9, 15
<i>Palko v. Connecticut</i> , 302 U.S. 319 (1937)	11
<i>Patson v. Pennsylvania</i> , 232 U.S. 138 (1914)	11, 25
<i>Paul v. Virginia</i> , 75 U.S. (8 Wall.) 168 (1868)	20, 21
<i>People v. Perez</i> , 325 N.Y.S.2d 183 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1971)	23
<i>People ex rel. Ferris v. Horton</i> , 264 N.Y.S. 84 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1933), <i>aff'd</i> , 269 N.Y.S. 579 (N.Y. App. Div. 1934)	25
<i>People's Rights Org., Inc. v. City of Columbus</i> , 152 F.3d 522 (6th Cir. 1998)	14
<i>Planned Parenthood v. Casey</i> , 505 U.S. 833 (1992)	18
<i>Poe v. Ullman</i> , 367 U.S. 497 (1961)	18
<i>Presser v. Illinois</i> , 116 U.S. 252 (1886)	1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16

<i>Printz v. United States</i> , 521 U.S. 898 (1997)	17, 18, 20
<i>Quilici v. Village of Morton Grove</i> , 695 F.2d 261 (7th Cir. 1982)	14
<i>Rodriquez de Quijas v. Shearson/Am. Express, Inc.</i> , 490 U.S. 477 (1989)	15
<i>Saenz v. Roe</i> , 526 U.S. 489 (1999)	21
<i>Silveira v. Lockyer</i> , 312 F.3d 1052 (9th Cir. 2002), <i>cert. denied</i> , 540 U.S. 1046 (2003)	14, 16, 17
<i>State Oil Co. v. Khan</i> , 522 U.S. 3-(1997)	6
<i>Stromberg v. California</i> , 283 U.S. 359 (1931)	9
<i>Supreme Court of New Hampshire v. Piper</i> , 470 U.S. 274 (1985)	6, 23, 24, 26, 27
<i>Supreme Court of Virginia v. Friedman</i> , 487 U.S. 59 (1988)	20; 23, 25, 27
<i>Toomer v. Witsell</i> , 334 U.S. 385 (1948)	21, 24, 26, 27
<i>United States v. Cruikshank</i> , 92 U.S. 542 (1876)	1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15
<i>United States v. Emerson</i> , 270 F.3d 203 (5th Cir. 2001)	15, 17
<i>United States v. Miller</i> , 307 U.S. 174 (1939)	17, 18
<i>United States v. South-Eastern Underwriters Ass'n</i> , 322 U.S. 533 (1944)	20
<i>Wolf v. Colorado</i> , 338 U.S. 25 (1949)	9, 20

CONSTITUTIONAL & STATUTORY PROVISIONS

U.S. Const.:

Art. I, § 8, cl. 3 (Commerce Clause).....	28
Art. IV, § 2 (Privileges and Immunities Clause)	1, 2, 4, 6, 20, 21, 23, 26, 28
Amend. I	8
Amend. II	<i>passim</i>
Amend. III	13
Amend. IV	20
Amend. V	8, 13
Takings Clause	8
Amend. VII	13
Amend. XIV	1, 8, 10, 11, 25
§ 1	10
Due Process Clause	4, 6, 15
Equal Protection Clause.....	4
Civil Rights Act of 1866, ch. 31, 14 Stat. 27 (Apr. 9, 1866)	10
§ 1, 14 Stat. 27	10
Enforcement Act, ch. 114, 16 Stat. 140 (May 31, 1870)	5

Freedman's Bureau Act, ch. 200, 14 Stat. 173 (July 16, 1866)	10
§ 14, 14 Stat. 176	10
18 U.S.C. § 922(g)	15
28 U.S.C. § 1254(1)	2
Ala. Code § 13A-11-85	22
Alaska Stat. § 18.65.775	22
Ariz. Rev. Stat.:	
§ 13-3112(U)	22
§ 13-3112(V)	22
Ark. Code:	
§ 5-73-309(2)	22
§ 5-73-401	22
Colo. Rev. Stat. § 18-12-213	22
Conn. Gen. Stat. § 29-28(f)	22
Fla. Stat. § 790.015	22
Ga. Stat. Ann.:	
§ 16-11-126(e)	22
§ 16-11-128(c)	22
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 134-9(a)	22
Idaho Code § 18-3302(12)(g)	22

Ind. Code § 35-47-2-21(b).....	22
Iowa Code § 724.11	22
Ky. Rev. Stat. § 237.110(17)(a).....	22
La. Rev. Stat. § 40:1379.3(T)(1).....	22
Me. Rev. Stat. tit. 25, § 2001-A(2)(F)	22
Mich. Comp. Laws § 28.432a(f).....	22
Minn. Stat. § 624.714, subd. 16.....	22
Miss. Code § 45-9-101(19).....	22
Mont. Code § 45-8-329	22
Nev. Rev. Stat. § 202.3657(1) & (2)	22
N.H. Rev. Stat. § 159:6-d	22
N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:58-4(c)	22
N.Y. Penal Law:	
§ 265.00(3)	3
§ 265.20	3
§ 400.00	3
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-415.24	22
N.D. Cent. Code § 62.1-04-03.1	22
Ohio Rev. Code § 2923.126(D)	22
Okla. Stat. tit. 21, § 1290.26.....	22
18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 6109(k).....	22

R.I. Gen. Laws § 11-47-11.....	22
S.C. Code § 23-31-215(N).....	22
S.D. Codified Laws § 23-7-7.3.....	22
Tenn. Code § 39-17-1351(r).....	22
Texas Gov't Code § 411.173(b).....	22
Utah Code § 76-10-523(2).....	22
Va. Code Ann. § 18.2-308(P).....	22
W. Va. Code § 61-7-6(7).....	22
Wyo. Stat.:	
§ 6-8-104(a)(iii).....	22
§ 6-8-104(b)(i).....	22

LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS

Cong. Globe, 39th Cong., 1st Sess. 2765-66 (1866)	10
Senate Subcomm. on the Constitution, 97th Cong., <i>The Right to Keep and Bear Arms</i> (Comm. Print 1982).....	19

OTHER MATERIALS

- Akhil Reed Amar, *The Bill of Rights and the Fourteenth Amendment*, 101 Yale L.J. 1193 (1992)9, 11
- 1 William Blackstone, *Commentaries on the Laws of England* (University of Chicago Press 1979) (1765)12
- Brief of United States, *United States v. Miller*, 307 U.S. 174 (Mar. 1939) (No. 696)17
- David B. Kopel, *The Supreme Court's Thirty-Five Other Gun Cases: What the Supreme Court Has Said About the Second Amendment*, 18 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 99 (1999)18
- Sanford Levinson, *The Embarrassing Second Amendment*, 99 Yale L.J. 637 (1989)9, 24
- Nelson Lund, *The Past and Future of the Individual's Right to Arms*, 31 Ga. L. Rev. 1 (1996)12
- Joseph Story, *Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States* (Carolina Academic Press 1987) (1833)12, 18
- The Federalist No. 46 (James Madison)12
- 1 Laurence H. Tribe, *American Constitutional Law* (Foundation Press 3d ed. 2000) (1978)18